

NoProp23.com

Why <u>Health Care Groups</u> Oppose Prop 23, the Dangerous & Costly Dialysis Proposition

Prop 23 Puts Dialysis Patients' Lives at Risk, Makes Doctor Shortage & ER Overcrowding Worse, While Increasing Health Care Costs for All

The American Nurses Association\California, California Medical Association, patient advocates and health care leaders all strongly OPPOSE Prop 23 - the special interest proposition that would seriously jeopardize access to care for tens of thousands of vulnerable dialysis patients, make our state's physician shortage and ER overcrowding worse, all while increasing health care costs by hundreds of millions annually. Here are the facts:



Prop 23 would make our physician shortage worse and lead to more emergency room overcrowding.

- Prop 23 is unnecessary because each dialysis patient in California is already under the care of their own doctor, who is a kidney specialist. And dialysis treatments are administered and overseen by registered nurses and licensed dialysis technicians who are specifically trained in kidney dialysis care.
- At a time when we already face a significant physician shortage, Prop 23 will take thousands of doctors away from where they are needed and send them into bureaucratic jobs at dialysis clinics where they will not even be providing care to patients.
- If dialysis patients don't receive the regular treatment they need to survive, they will get very ill and wind up in the emergency room. Sending tens of thousands of vulnerable patients to emergency rooms will exacerbate emergency room overcrowding and reduce capacity to deal with other emergencies and pandemics like the coronavirus.
- Now is not the time to make our physician shortage worse.



"Proposition 23 would take thousands of doctors away from hospitals and clinics – where they're needed – and place them into bureaucratic jobs at dialysis clinics where they aren't. This is not the time to make our physician shortage worse."

Peter N. Bretan, MD, President, California Medical Association



Prop 23 would force community dialysis clinics to cut services and close – putting the lives of vulnerable dialysis patients at serious risk.

- Dialysis treatment does the job of the kidneys by removing toxins from the body. Missing a single treatment increases patient risk of death by 30%.
- According to a study by the independent Berkeley Research Group, Prop 23 would increase dialysis treatment costs by \$320 million every year.
- Due to the increased costs, nearly half of the 600 clinics in California would become financially unsustainable resulting in clinic closures and cutbacks that would dangerously jeopardize access to dialysis care that these patients need to survive.



Prop 23 would increase health care costs for taxpayers and consumers by hundreds of millions annually.

- California's independent, nonpartisan Legislative Analyst warns Prop 23 would result in "Increased state and local health care costs ... resulting from increased dialysis treatment costs."
- ▶ These higher costs will be passed along to all Californians in the form of higher insurance premiums and higher taxes for government-sponsored health care.
- At a time when our economy is in crisis and families are struggling to make ends meet, a dramatic increase in health care costs would be a real burden for many California families.



Prop 23 is the worst kind of special interest ballot box abuse that uses patients as pawns.

- ▶ The Service Employees International Union-United Healthcare Workers West (SEIU-UHW) spent \$20 million in 2018 trying to pass a similar dialysis ballot measure (Prop 8), and voters overwhelmingly rejected that deeply flawed proposition.
- Now, they're at it again, pushing another harmful proposition that puts dialysis patient lives at risk. Especially now, in the face of a public health crisis, it's not right that special interests are playing political games with our health care system and putting patient lives at risk.



"Every dialysis patient is under the care of a physician kidney specialist, and dialysis treatments are administered by specially-trained nurses and technicians. It makes no sense to require physician administrators on site full-time."

Jeffrey A. Perlmutter, MD, President, Renal Physicians Association, representing 3,500 kidney doctors



Prop 23 is opposed by:

- California Medical Association
- American Nurses Association\California
- American Academy of Nephrology PAs
- Chronic Disease Coalition
- Renal Support Network
- Dialysis Patient Citizens
- Network of Ethnic Physician Organizations
- Renal Physicians Association

- National Hispanic Medical Association
- California State Conference NAACP
- Latino Diabetes Association
- Minority Health Institute
- Women Voters Alliance
- California Senior Advocates League
- California Taxpayer Protection Committee
- Latin Business Association

(partial list)